

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/840,077	/840,077 05/06/2004		Albert D. Venezzio JR.	VEN001P	7856
36927	7590	11/29/2005		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL I	R. PHILIPS		PHAM, MINH CHAU THI		
5 TURNBERI	RY CIRCLE				
P.O. BOX 181	18		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TOMS RIVE	R, NJ 08754	I-1818		1724	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4X				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/840,077	VENEZZIO, ALBERT D.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Octoor This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/840,077

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matherne (4,840,650).

Matherne discloses an air purifier (10) for mounting to a leading edge of a fan ceiling comprising a self-supporting lattice framework (12) having a plurality of openings therethrough (14, 18, col. 7-16), a filter medium (20) assembled to cover the surface of framework (12), means (28) on the framework (12) for releasably mounting the assembled filter medium (20) and the framework (12) to a leading edge of a fan paddle with opposed portions (13, 15 in Figs. 5 & 6) extending outwardly in symmetrical relation. Claims 1-17 differ from the disclosure of Matherne in that the attachment means is in the middle of the framework lattice rather than on one side as attachment means (28) of Matherne. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art a the time the invention was made to design the attachment means at the middle of the framework lattice instead of on one side, since it has been held that rearranging

Art Unit: 1724

parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. See <u>In re Japikse</u>, 86 USPQ 70.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed on October 20, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the cited reference Carfagna et al does not disclose the configuration of the filter medium and framework mounted on a fan paddle with opposed portions extending outwardly of the fan paddle as amended in independent claims 1 and 10. The Examiner now drops the Jackson et al reference and newly introduces Matherne as the primary reference under the 103(a) rejection to show an air purifier (10) for mounting to a leading edge of a fan ceiling comprising a self-supporting lattice framework (12) having a plurality of openings therethrough (14, 18, col. 7-16), a filter medium (20) assembled to cover the surface of framework (12), means (28) on the framework (12) for releasably mounting the assembled filter medium (20) and the framework (12) to a leading edge of a fan paddle with opposed portions (13, 15 in Figs. 5 & 6) extending outwardly in symmetrical relation, as claimed. Claims 1-17 differ from the disclosure of Matherne in that the attachment means is in the middle of the framework lattice rather than on one side as attachment means (28) of Matherne. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art a the time the invention was made to design the attachment means at the middle of the framework lattice instead of on one side, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. See In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

Art Unit: 1724

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/840,077 Page 5

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724

November 25, 2005